Irregularities in Nepa Limited

1288. SHRI K.M. SAIFULLAH: Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to state:

(a) the allegation against the Director of Finance and Chairman-cum-Managing Director of Nepa Mills;

(b) the result of the enquiry made in this connection; and

(c) the action taken to ensure fair enquiry by transferring the officials or placing them under suspension?

THE MINISTER OF INDUSTRY (SHRI SIKANDER BAKHT): (a) Allegations were received against Director (Finance), Nepa Limited from Chairman-cum-Managing Director (CMD) of the Company for violation of certain provisions of Conduct, Discipline and Appeal (CDA) Rules of Nepa Limited. Shri K.C. Gupta also made some allegations against CMD, Nepa Mills for violation of some provisions of CDA Rules of Nepa Ltd.

(b) Two man committee consisting of one Director and one Deputy Secretary was constituted to enquire into allegations against both these officers. The enquiry reports have been received and are under process.

(c) Orders were issued on 14.09.1998 for suspension of Shri K.C. Gupta, Director (Finance) of Nepa Limited.

Ban on Manufacture of diesel vehicles

1289. SHRI AKHILESH DAS:
SHRIMATI VEENA VERMA:
SHRI RAJUBHAI A. PARMAR:

Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government have under consideration the question of banning or strictly regulating the manufacture of diesel cars, in view of the environment hazards diesel vehicles present in the metropolies, in particular;

(b) if so, what decision, if any, has been taken in the matter; and

(c) the details of manufacturing licences for diesel cars under operation in the country?

THE MINISTER OF INDUSTRY (SHRI SIKANDER BAKHT): (a) and (b) The Government in the Ministry of Industry has no proposal under consideration on the question of bailing or strictly regulating the manufacture of diesel cars, even in metropolies. However, for controlling vehicular pollution, progressive emission norms at the manufacturing stage have been notified; cleaner fuels like unleaded petrol, low sulphur diesel and Compressed Natural Gas (GNG) are also being gradually introduced.

(c) Manufacture of passenger car is delicensed; as such, manufacturers have only to file an Industrial Entrepreneurs Memorandum (IEM). No distinction is made between cars based on diesel or petrol. During the period from 1.1.96 to 31.12.1998. 16 IEMs have been filed for the manufacture of motor cars as per details given in the enclosed Statement-I A total of 17 proposals for setting up of joint ventures/wholly owned subsidiaries by foreign companies have also been approved as per details given in enclosed Statement-II.

Statement-I

Details of IEMS filed for manufacture of Passenger Car during the period of 1.1.1996 to 31.12.1998

S.No.	Name of the Undertaking	Location	Proposed Annual Capacity (in Nos)
1.	Overseas concept Auto Ltd.	Patiala, Punjab	2,000
2.	Ruby Coach Builders Ltd.	Ahmedabad, Gujarat	20,000
3.	Ruby Coach. Builders Ltd.	Greater Bombay (Mumbai), Maharashtra.	10,000
4.	Sujala Motors (I) Pvt. Ltd.	Vadodara, Gujarat	5,000
5.	Kinetic Engineering Ltd.	Pune, Maharashtra	10,000
6.	Sujata Motors (I) Pvt. Ltd.	Vadodara, Gujarat	5,000
7.	Hyundai Motor India Ltd.	Kancheepuram Tamil Nadu	1,20,000
8.	Hindustan Motors Ltd.	-do-	30,000
9.	UBE Industries Limited	Nalgonda Andhra Pradesh	80,000
10.	Daewoo Motors India Ltd.	Gautam Budha Nagar Uttar Pradesh	3,00,000
11.	Mahindra Ford (I) Ltd.	Kancheepuram, Tamil Nadu	1,00,000
12.	Maruti Udyog Limited	Gurgaon (Haryana)	4,20,000
13.	Maini Amerigon Car Co. Pvt. Limited	Kolar, Karnataka	6,000
14.	Toyata Kirloskar Motor Pvt. Limited	Bangalore, Karnataka	50,000
15.	Piaggio Greaves Vehicles Pvt. Limited	Pune, Maharashtra	50,000
16.	Ind Auto Limited	Gr. Bombay, Maharashtra	60.000

Statement-II

Joint ventures for Passenger Car.

S.No.	Name of the Joint Venture	Annual Capacity	Place of manufacture
1.	Birla Group of Companies (Hindustan Motors) with General Motors of USA	25,000	Halol, Gujarat
2.	Premier Automobiles Ltd. with Peugot of France	60,000	Mumbai, Maharashtra
3.	TELCO with Mercedes Benz of Germany	20,000	Pune, Maharashtra
4.	DCM with Daewoo Motor Company of Korea	1,60,000	Noida, U.P.
5.	Mahindra & Mahindra with Ford Motor Company of USA	1,25,000	Mumbai, Maharashtra
6.	Sriram Industrial Enterprises Ltd. With Honda Motor Company of Japan	30,000	Noida, U.P.

S.No.	Name of the Undertaking	Location	Proposed Annua! Capacity (in Nos)
7.	Hindustan Motors with Mitsubishi Motor Corpn. of Japan	30,000	Chennai, T.N.
8.	Sipani Automobiles with M/s. Rovers Group Ltd. of UK	15,000	Bangalore, Karnataka
9.	Hyundai Motor Company, Korea	1,00,000 2,00,000	Sripununbudur, Chennai, T.N.
10.	Hero Cycles Ltd. Ludhiana With BMW Germany	10,000	Greater Noida, U.P.
11.	Maruti Udyog Ltd. with Suzuki Motor Co. Japan	2,50,000	Gurgaon, Haryana
12.	Kamal Sabre Motors Ltd. with JD Automotive Design of South American and Sabrae International Corpn. of USA. (Sports Car)	720	Jaipur, Rajasthan
' 13.	Premier Automobiles with FIAT Italy	1,00,000	Rajanand Gaon, Maharashtra
14.	Maini Amerigon Car Company with Amerigon Inc. USA & Asian Equity, U.K. (Electric Car)	1-6,000 V-80,000	Bangalore, Karnataka
15.	M/s. Overseas Concept Auto Ltd. Chandigarh with Concept Industrial Management Ltd. U.K.	2200	Rajpura, Punjab
16.	VOLVO AB. Sweden	Not Indicated	Bangalore Karnataka
17.	M/s. Kirloskar System Ltd. with M/s. Toyota Motor Corporation	50,000	Bangalore (Budadi Industrial Area), Karnataka

Sick PSUs in Haryana

1290. SHRI RAMJI LAL: Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to state:

(a) the number of sick Public Sector Undertakings in Haryana;

(b) the details of the steps proposed to be taken by Government for the revival of these undertakings; and

(c) the total number of employees working in these undertakings?

THE MINISTER OF INDUSTRY (SHRI SIKANDER BAKHT): (a) Based on the Public Enterprises Survey Report 1997-98, which has been laid in the Parliament on 26.2.1999, there is one CPSU viz., Indian Drugs & Pharmaceuticals Ltd. (IDPL) having its registered office in the State of Haryana.

(b) IDPL was declared sick by BIFR on 12.8.92. A package for revival of IDPL duly approved by BIFR was sanctioned w.e.f. 1.4.94. The package had however failed in the first year of its operation as the company was not able to achieve the targeted levels of production, sales and profitability. IDPL had submitted a modified proposal for the revival in Jane, 1998. The revised plan is at a total cost of Rs. 2010.12 crores for all the 3 units including the unit located in Gurgaon. Government have decided to conduct a fresh study of techno-economic viability of IDPL.